

No. 5:15-CV-00202-F

$$\begin{array}{c} ) \\ ) \\ ) \\ ) \\ ) \\ ) \\ ) \\ ) \end{array}$$

Case 5:15-mc-00092-F Document 5 Filed 06/10/15 Page 1 of 3

without having to advance the fees and costs associated with litigation.” *Flint v. Haynes*, 651 F.2d 970, 972 (4th Cir. 1981). However, “proceeding [IFP] in a civil case is a privilege or favor granted by the government.” *White v. Barnhart*, Nos. 1:02-CV-556, 1:02-CV-557, 2002 WL 1760980, at \*1 (M.D.N.C. July 30, 2002) (unpublished). In ruling on an IFP application, the court is required to exercise discretion in determining whether to grant or deny the application. *Id.* In *Adkins v. E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co.*, 335 U.S. 331, 339 (1948), the Supreme Court first set forth the standard for the determination of *in forma pauperis*: “[w]e think an affidavit is sufficient which states that one cannot because of his poverty pay or give security for the costs . . . and still be able to provide himself and dependents with the necessities of life.” *Id.* at 339 (internal quotations omitted). In exercising its discretion, the court is to be mindful that the ability to pay does not require that a plaintiff prove that he is “absolutely destitute.” *Id.*


Plaintiff is currently employed part-time earning \$1,346.80 monthly and receives \$836.00 in monthly military retirement benefits and \$1,200.00 in monthly military disability benefits for a total of \$3,382.80 in monthly income. [DE-4] at 1. Plaintiff’s reported monthly expenses are \$2,890.00. [DE-1] ¶ 8. Plaintiff reported a total of \$1,800.00 held in checking accounts as of May 8, 2015. *Id.* ¶ 4. Plaintiff supports one minor child and \$674.00 in alimony, maintenance or support is included in his monthly expenses. *Id.* ¶ 7.

Based on the information provided by Plaintiff regarding his current financial status, he has failed to demonstrate sufficient evidence indicating that payment of the required court costs would deprive him or his family of the “necessities of life.” *See Adkins*, 335 U.S. at 339. Plaintiff has monthly disposable income and \$1,800.00 in his checking accounts. [DE-1, -4]. Although Plaintiff asserts that he is unable to pay the costs of these proceedings, the Court notes that the “privilege to

proceed without posting security for costs and fees is reserved to the many truly impoverished litigants who, within the District Court's sound discretion, would remain without [a] legal remedy if such privilege were not afforded to them." *Brewster v. N. A. Van Lines, Inc.*, 461 F.2d 649, 651 (7th Cir. 1972). Accordingly, it is recommended that Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* be denied and that Plaintiff be required to tender to the clerk the filing and administrative fees of \$400.00.

The Clerk shall send copies of this Memorandum and Recommendation to the *pro se* Plaintiff, who shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of receipt to file written objections. Failure to file timely written objections shall bar an aggrieved party from receiving a de novo review by the District Court on an issue covered in the Memorandum and, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal the proposed factual findings and legal conclusions not objected to, and accepted by, the District Court.

SUBMITTED, this the 10 day of June 2015.

  
Robert B. Jones, Jr.  
United States Magistrate Judge